

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

MERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,005	07/08/2003	Chip Maxson	0008	1031
43699 7590 02/09/2007 GO DADDY GROUP, INC. 14455 NORTH HAYDEN ROAD			EXAMINER .	
			SALL, EL HADJI MALICK	
SUITE 219 SCOTTSDALE,	A 7 85260		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCOTTSDALL,	NZ 63200		2157	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,005	MAXSON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	El Hadji M. Sall	2157			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/08</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro-				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/07/06</u>.     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the application filed on July 8, 2003. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-19 represent reseller program for registering domain names through resellers' web sites.

#### 2. Specification

The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to U.S. Patent Application Serial Number is ineffective because the reference document is not clearly identified as required by 37 CFR 1.57(b)(2)).

The incorporation by reference will not be effective until correction is made to comply with 37 CFR 1.57(b), (c), or (d). If the incorporated material is relied upon to meet any outstanding objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office, the correction must be made within any time period set by the Office for responding to the objection, rejection, or other requirement for the incorporation to be effective.

Compliance will not be held in abeyance with respect to responding to the objection, rejection, or other requirement for the incorporation to be effective. In no case may the correction be made later than the close of prosecution as defined in 37 CFR 1.114(b), or abandonment of the application, whichever occurs earlier.

Art Unit: 2157

Any correction inserting material by amendment that was previously incorporated by reference must be accompanied by a statement that the material being inserted is the material incorporated by reference and the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink on page 2 of the specification. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

### 3. Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: they are both system claims that depend on a manufacture claim. Appropriate correction is required.

# l. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement

Art Unit: 2157

thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant discloses "a reseller program for allowing a plurality of Customers to register one or more domain names via a Registrar...". For the claimed invention to be statuary, it has to be embedded in computer readable medium.

For purpose of prior art rejection, Examiner will construe claim 1-10 as "a reseller program embodied in a machine readable medium for allowing a plurality of Customers to register one or more domain names via a Registrar ....".

# 5. Double Patenting

-A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101, which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 6 of copending Application No. 10/616,195. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

As to claim 6, Maxson teaches the same invention as in claim 6 of copending Application No. 10/616,195.

# 6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2157

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Bayles U.S. 7,039,697.

Bayles teaches the invention as claimed including registry-integrated Internet domain name acquisition system (see abstract).

As to claims 1, Bayles teaches a reseller program embodied in a machine readable medium and a process for allowing a plurality of Customers to register one or more domain names via a Registrar web site, comprising:

- A) means for accepting a plurality of Resellers into a reseller program, wherein each Reseller has at least one reseller web site (column 6, lines 26-28);
- B) means for creating a registrar web site for registering domain names with an appropriate Registry web site (figure 2);
  - C) means for allowing a plurality of reseller web sites to register one or more

Art Unit: 2157

domain names for one or more customers via the registrar web site (column 6, lines 26-28);

D) means for collecting a fee from each Reseller web site that registers a domain name for a Customer via the registrar web site (column 6, lines 32-33).

As to claim 2, Bayles teaches the reseller program and the process of claim 1, wherein the reseller web site has the ability to communicate with the registrar web site or the reseller web site includes Internet links to the registrar web site (figure 2)

As to claims 3, 8, 12, 13, 16 and 17, Bayles teaches the reseller program and the process of claims 1, 6, 10 and 14, wherein the reseller web sites communicate with the registrar web site via an application program interface protocol (column 6, lines 57-67; figure 1).

As to claim 4, Bayles teaches the reseller program of claim 1, wherein the actions by one of the plurality of Resellers include creating a link to the registrar web site from another web site (figure 1; column 5, lines 37-46).

As to claims 5, 7, 11 and 15, Bayles teaches the reseller program and the process of claims 1, 6, 10 and 14, further including means to register domain names via a proxy service, wherein proxy contact information is made publicly available while the Customer receives legal rights in the domain name (column 5, lines 32-36).

Art Unit: 2157

8.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 8

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayles U.S. 7,039,697 in view of Vaidyanathan et al. U.S. 20020138291 (referred to hereafter as Vaid).

Bayles teaches the invention as claimed including registry-integrated Internet domain name acquisition system (see abstract).

As to claim 18, Bayles teaches the reseller program of claim 14.

Art Unit: 2157

Bayles fails to teach explicitly the administration web site offers the option to the resellers to receive electronic payments from the registrar based on activities of their associated customers.

However, Vaid teaches the administration web site offers the option to the resellers to receive electronic payments from the registrar based on activities of their associated customers (page 2, [0019]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bayles in view of Vaid to provide the administration web site offering the option to the resellers to receive electronic payments from the registrar based on activities of their associated customers. One would be motivated to do so to allow paperless transaction.

As to claim 19, Bayles teaches the reseller program of claim 14.

Bayles fails to teach explicitly wherein the administration web site offers the option to the Reseller to display a report showing commission payments during selected time periods.

However, Vaid teaches the administration web site offers the option to the Reseller to display a report showing commission payments during selected time periods (page 1, [0009]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Bayles in view of Vaid to provide the administration web site offers the option to the Reseller to display a report showing commission payments

Art Unit: 2157

during selected time periods. One would be motivated to do so to allow efficient tracking of a reseller commission.

#### 10. Citation of Relevant Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art: 20020091827; 20030105868; 20060161681; 20040167982.

#### 11. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to El Hadji M Sall whose telephone number is 571-272-4010. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4010.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2157

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

El Hadji Sall

**Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit: 2157

ARIO ETIENNE

COMPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER

COMPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER